

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/584,745	ZIEGLER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JOHN FREEMAN	1787	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JOHN FREEMAN. (3) _____.

(2) Christina Mangelsen. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 07 March 2012.

Type: Telephonic Video Conference
 Personal [copy given to: applicant applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Issues Discussed 101 112 102 103 Others

(For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: 21.

Identification of prior art discussed: Flexman.

Substance of Interview

(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

The examiner requested an Examiner's Amendment to remove all of the additives listed in claim 21 except for those that were clearly not polymers (i.e., glass fiber and carbon black) as the Flexman reference alone or in combination with a teaching reference would still read on the other additives. Applicant also submitted an alternate independent claim for consideration to overcome the Flexman reference. An agreement on claim language could not be reached during the interview.

Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

Attachment

/John Freeman/
 Examiner, Art Unit 1787

/Callie E. Shoshol/
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1787